

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 03/804,909 02/25/97 TZANNES M 6008/54140 **EXAMINER** LM02/1230 MARTIN J. ODONNELL TRAN, K CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 30 ROWES WHARF BOSTON MA 02110 2731 **DATE MAILED:** 12/30/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/804,909

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit **KHAI TRAN** 2731

TZANNES et al

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 18, 1999	
★ This action is FINAL.	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), of longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for respondication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained und 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ponse will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) is/a	
Claim(s)	
Claim(s) 1	
☐ Claims are subject to re	estriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	•
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ di	sapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have bee	n
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	_ <i>-</i>
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/804,909 Page 2

Art Unit: 2731

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment A filed on 8/18/1999 has been entered. Claims 1-3 are pending in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chow et al (U.S. Pat. 5,479,447).

As per claim 1, Chow et al disclose a communication system for sending a sequence of symbols on a communication link as shown in Fig. 1 comprising a communication channel (26) for transmitting the sequence of symbols; a clock for defining successive frames (e.g., bits are grouped into blocks such as frames, see col. 1, lines 27-30); a modulator (a multicarrier modulator 16) for modulating each of M carrier signals with a signal related to the value of one of the symbols thereby generating a modulated carrier signal and for generating a sum signal comprising a sum of the modulated carrier signals (see Fig.1). Chow et al fail to disclose an output circuit for transmitting the sum signal on the communication link, wherein the carrier signals comprise first and second carriers in which the first carrier having a different bandwidth than the second carrier. However, Chow et al disclose that the multicarrier modulation is known a Discrete Multitone (DTM) modulation, therefore, the carriers

Application/Control Number: 08/804,909 Page 3

Art Unit: 2731

have different bandwidth. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have different bandwidth in the modulated carrier signals in order to select a desired symbol of the sequence of symbols. The motivation would reduce noise signals and increase speed of transmission signal in the communication system.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/18/1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that Chow reference does not disclose a communication system having carriers of different bandwidth as set forth in applicant's claims.

In response, Examiner asserts that Chow reference discloses a method for adaptive, variable bandwidth of a multicarrier signal over digital subscriber lines which the transmission bandwidth is determined by the symbol rate and the carrier frequency (col. 3, lines 15-57). Therefore, the carriers of different bandwidth is inherent in the variable bandwidth in order to optimize the transmission bandwidth.

Furthermore, the term "the root node" in claim 3 still lacks antecedent basis by virtue of dependancy of claim 1 instead of claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 08/804,909

*

Art Unit: 2731

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: Chow et al fail to explicitly disclose the modulator comprising an array of filter

banks having M leaf nodes, each of the values related to the symbols forming an input

to a corresponding one of the leaf nodes, each of the values related to the symbols

forming an input to a corresponding one of the leaf nodes, each of the node, other than

the leaf nodes, comprising one of the filter banks.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2731

7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-3988, (for formal communications; please mark

"EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

or:

(703) 308-6743, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Khai Tran** whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-1876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (703) 305-4378.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Khai Tran

December 23, 1999

CHI H. PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 2700